consistent with the above structure and suggests a mechanism for its reaction with hydrogen and diborane. Dissociation of $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{CO}$ to $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ retaining a vacant orbital 2112 structure ${ }^{19}$ could be the initial step in the

Table II. ${ }^{11}{ }^{B}$ Spectral Data for $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$

|  |  | BH | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BH} \\ (\mathrm{BHP}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{BH}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{CO}$ | $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ | +2.1 | +58.7 | +1.5 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ | $J$, cps | 119 | 131 | 127 |
|  | $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ | -0.7 | +58.7 | +3.9 |
|  | $J$ cps | 122 | 149 | 123 |

reaction. Interaction of the vacant orbital with hydrogen, followed by minor electronic and molecular rearrangement, would result in tetraborane(10). Substitution of deuterium for hydrogen in this reaction might
(19) J. A. Dupont and R. Schaeffer, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 15, 310 (1960).
be expected to yield the bridge $(\mu)$, terminal (1) labeled molecule, $\mu, 1$-dideuteriotetraborane(10). Similarly, in the reaction with diborane, initial bond formation between $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ might occur with formation of a boron hydrogen bridge bond at $B_{1}$. Simultaneous or subsequent dissociation of the diborane followed by electronic and molecular rearrangement of the intermediate species would give rise to the final $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$. The fact that ${ }^{n} \mathrm{~B}^{10} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ did not have the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ isotope located specifically in either basal position, as might be inferred from this mechanism, may indicate that a rapid basal boron equilibration process exists for pentaborane(11), that an intermediate with four equivalent borons is formed, or that the intermediate species formed prior to pentaborane(11) can rearrange to the observed ${ }^{n} \mathrm{~B}^{10} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ by two different mechanisms. Further study will be necessary to attempt to distinguish between these choices.
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#### Abstract

Very sensitive infrared procedures were used to show that the compounds $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}, \mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PF}$ all decompose to $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and free phosphine ligand by the same mechanism as found earlier for $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$, namely, the dissociation of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ to $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ and free ligand L , followed by action of $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ to displace L from $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{~L}$. The same rate law applies also to the far more complicated case of $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$. Extrapolation of early-stage rate data for $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ to zero time gave first-order rate constants for the initial dissociation at three temperatures. These results, taken with the over-all equilibria, led to $D\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)=35.0$ kcal, consistent with but more precise than earlier estimates. The only systematic error here would arise from the reasonable assumption that $\Delta H=0$ for activation of the reverse of the initial dissociation.


TThe thorough analysis by Garabedian and Benson, ${ }^{2}$ of all data on the rates of decomposition of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ to CO and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6},{ }^{3,4}$ confirmed the mechanism proposed by $\mathrm{Burg}^{3}$ and led to a considerably higher value for $D\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)$ than had been estimated by Bauer. ${ }^{5}$ Others have proposed still higher values. ${ }^{6,7}$

[^0]However, all such estimates have been based upon assumptions sufficiently uncertain to allow fairly wide disagreement. A new approach to the problem was needed.

The compound $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ was reported as decomposing by a rate law at least roughly similar to that of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{CO} .^{8}$ The present work abundantly confirms this mechanism, showing that $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ can be used for an independent development of data relating to $D\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)$. The great advantage here is the high sensitivity of the infrared method of observing the process; fortunately, the very intense $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}$ stretching peaks shown by $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$

[^1]at 834,853 , and $867 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are far enough from the nearest $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}$ stretching peak of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}\left(942 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ so that all three can be used for double-checked quantitative determinations of $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ as it is being released. Hence good rate curves can be drawn from observations at very early stages of the process, in contrast to the very uncertain early-stage $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ data ${ }^{3}$ upon which Bauer based his estimates. ${ }^{\bar{j}}$ Indeed, it proved possible to extrapolate our early-stage data at three low temperatures to first-order rates at zero time, and so to have a highly dependable basis for estimating $D\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}-\right.$ $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ ). Similar work with $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ would not have been possible because the infrared spectrum of CO is far too weak.

The new compound, $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$, and the previously indicated $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PF}^{9}$ also were found to decompose to $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and free ligand in just the same manner, but a dependable study of the early-stage kinetics of either would have been far more difficult than for $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$. The same rate law applies also to the decomposition of $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3},{ }^{10}$ but its decomposition products are relatively difficult to interpret.

## Experimental Methods

Preparation of Samples. The compounds $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ were made by the action of $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ in great excess upon $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ or $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{11},{ }^{8,10}$ The similarly formed $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$. $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PF}$ could not be purified completely, for small proportions of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$ or $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PF}$ remained even after distillation in a highvacuum reflux column and fractional condensation at -130 and $-110^{\circ}$, respectively. Hence for kinetic runs on these, the effective zero times could be determined only by extrapolation from early points. This procedure was feasible because the presence or absence of diborane never affected our virtually irreversible kinetic process, the over-all rate of which was governed by the partial pressure of the free ligand. The same method was applied also to $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ samples which had suffered some slight decomposition during the usual distillation into the cold-tube attached to the infrared cell; however, for the very early-stage observations of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$, this cold-tube was a small U-trap at $-145^{\circ}$, through which the $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ impurity passed to a more distant trap at $-196^{\circ}$.

The study of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PF}$ was complicated by the unavoidable presence of $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PH}$ (a by-product of the synthesis), often in amounts comparable to $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PF}$ itself. However, $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PH}$ was only an inert diluent; and since its peaks at 749,810 , and 853 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ were constant throughout any run, it was possible to determine the intensity of the $855-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ peak of $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PF}$ by subtracting the intensity of the $853-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ peak as calculated by reference to the $749-$ and $810-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ peaks.

Infrared Technique. The infrared instrument for this work was the Beckman IR7, with interchangeable NaCl and CsI optics. The relative intensities of the pertinent bands were determined as $k=(100 / P L) \log I_{0} / I$ for pressure $P$ at $25^{\circ}$ and path $L$, both in cm. For the standardization of any band, it was not necessary to determine the absolute base line for $100 \%$ transmittance, for $I_{0}$ and $I$ could be based upon any two consistently measureable features of the same band. Then the arbitrary $k$ values could be used to determine the partial pressure of any component during a kinetic run.

The free ligands $\mathrm{PF}_{3}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$, and $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PF}$ all offered $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}$ stretching frequencies far enough from those of the $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ complexes for dependable observations of ligand appearance, undisturbed by the diminishing $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}$ stretching intensities of the complexes. For $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$, however, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}$ stretching was too close to that for $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$; and even the $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ deformation at $487 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was not quite free of interference by the 444 - and $556-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ bands of $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$. However, the $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ deformation at $347 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was quite adequately separated from the $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ band at $403 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to afford good measurements. For this low-frequency region, the cell was fitted with KBr
(9) A. B. Burg and G. Brendel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 3198 (1958). (10) J. R. Spielman and A. B. Burg, Inorg. Chem., 2, 1139 (1963).
disks only 2 mm thick, and their small absorption was balanced by an equal thickness of KBr in the reference beam.
The infrared cells were so designed that the main beam-region could be equilibrated with the cell-chamber temperature while the sample resided in a cold-tube outside of the instrument. The decomposition process could be started by suddenly warming the sample from $-196^{\circ}$, expanding it into the path of the beam; then by closing a stopcock just above the cell chamber, the observed process could be confined to the constant-temperature region. Cell path lengths of 11 and 81 mm permitted a wide choice of initial pressures and ranges of decomposition. The short-path cell was provided with a ballast volume within the con-stant-temperature region, to ensure uniform behavior of the sample.

For work over a range of temperatures, the cell body resided in a transite box within the instrument cell chamber, and was either heated by a fan blowing across electrically heated wires, or cooled by air which had been dehumidified at 7 atm pressure and $2^{\circ}$ and passed through a copper coil at temperatures as low as $-78^{\circ}$. By either arrangement, temperature constancy within $0.3^{\circ}$ could be maintained.

Initial Sample Pressures. For each experiment, the effective initial pressure of the sample could be determined as the sum of the partial pressures of the $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ complex and the ligand, a sum which was constant throughout the course of a decomposition. In some cases the initial pressure was too high for infrared determination of both partial pressures. For these runs, the total $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ was determined as $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NBH}_{3}$ afterwards; and then the initial pressure could be calculated from the calibrated volume of the cell.

Equilibrium Measurements. The equilibrium constants at various temperatures for the over-all process

$$
2 \mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}+2 \mathrm{PF}_{3}
$$

were determined by a procedure like that described earlier for $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}^{3}$ In the present case, the fraction passing a high-vacuum trap at $-145^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{PF}_{3}\right)$ was measured as a gas and analyzed by conversion of the $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ part to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NBH}_{3}$ for weighing.

## Results

Main Kinetic Data for $\mathbf{B H}_{3} \mathbf{P F}_{3}$. Our rate studies on $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ in the range $3-74 \%$ decomposition, at initial pressures from 9.1 to 308 mm and at temperatures ranging from 0 to $54.6^{\circ}$, were correlated by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(x)=\frac{2 k_{1} k_{3} t}{k_{2}}=\frac{x}{1-x}-\ln (1-x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as written by Garabedian and Benson. ${ }^{2}$ This is equivalent to the equation given by Burg, ${ }^{3}$ with $x$ redefined as the fraction of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ decomposed at time $t$. It represents the integrated form of the rate equation based upon the process

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3} \stackrel{k_{1}}{\stackrel{k_{2}}{\longleftrightarrow}} \mathrm{BH}_{3}+\mathrm{PF}_{3}  \tag{2}\\
\mathrm{BH}_{3}+\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3} \xrightarrow{k_{3}} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}+\mathrm{PF}_{3} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the assumption that $k_{3}$ is far smaller than $k_{2}$. The very good linearity of the typical graphs shown in Figure 1 clearly demonstrates the validity of this mechanism. Only for the slow run at $0^{\circ}$, for which the scale is greatly magnified, do we see some of the expected deviation near the origin, as required by theory for a range in which $k_{3}$ is not solely rate determining.

From the slopes of all such lines, the values of $k_{1} k_{3} / k_{2}$ (designated as $k K$ in the $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ study) ${ }^{3}$ were
calculated and used to determine the energy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log k_{1} k_{3} / k_{2}=15.84-29,300 / 4.578 T \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The validity of this equation is demonstrated in Table I.

Table I. Summary of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ Decomposition Rates

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Temp, } \\ { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \end{gathered}$ | $P_{0}$ <br> mm | Total time, min | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { decompn } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -10^{8} k_{1} k_{3} \\ \text { Obsd } \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\sec ^{-1}}{\text { Calcd }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 170 | 180 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| 0.0 | 210 | 172 | 3.5 \} |  |  |
| 0.0 | 308 | 168 | $3.3)$ |  |  |
| 5.0 | 60 | 143 | 4.4 | 5.9 | 6.7 |
| 21.2 | 13.2 | 234 | 22.5 | 125 | 125 |
| 28.5 | 9.1 | 190 | 33.0 | 396 | 417 |
| 35.0 | 31.5 | 161 | 44.5 | 1,120 | 1,170 |
| 37.0 | 10.6 | 187 | 50.5 |  |  |
| 37.0 | 23.2 | 70 | 35.2 \} | 1,410 | 1,590 |
| 37.2 | 28.5 | 185 | 50.2 ) |  |  |
| 43.5 | 19.0 | 118 | 59.8 | 4,260 | 4,220 |
| 48.3 | 10.7 | 152 | 76.2 | 9,100 | 8,520 |
| 54.6 | 24.9 | 62 | 73.9 | 20,700 | 20,400 |

The Early Low-Temperature Data. In the temperature range -10.3 to $0^{\circ}$ it was possible to make good observations of the progress of the decomposition between 0 and $1 \%$, demonstrating very considerable deviations from the simple rate law based upon $k_{3}$ $\ll k_{2}$. However, on the basis of the complete rate equation given by Garabedian and Benson, ${ }^{2}$ namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\frac{2 k_{1} k_{3}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}\right)^{2}}{k_{2}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{3}\right)+k_{3}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is apparent that zero time would require a first-order rate law, since $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{3}\right)=0$ so that $k_{3}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}\right)$ would cancel out. As expected, the simplified first-order rate equation $2 k_{1}=x / t$ showed " $k_{1}$ " variable with time, but $\Delta H_{\text {act }}$ could be estimated as 23.5 kcal from pseudo- $k_{1}$ values obtained by extrapolations to zero time. Alternatively, graphs of $\left(x / 2+2 x^{2} / 3\right)$ vs. $t / x$ (according to eq 3 of Garabedian and Benson) ${ }^{2}$ gave $2 k_{1} k_{3} / k_{2}$ as slopes and $-k_{3} / k_{2}$ as intercepts at $t / x=0$, leading to the $k_{1}$ values (in $\sec ^{-1} \times 10^{-5}$ ) 0.29 at $-10.3^{\circ}$, 0.63 at $-5.6^{\circ}$, and 1.56 at $0.0^{\circ}$. The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log k_{1}=13.94-23,450 / 4.578 T \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives these as $0.288,0.632$, and 1.56 .
Over-all Equilibria. The values of $K$ in atm for the equilibrium

$$
2 \mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}+2 \mathrm{PF}_{3}
$$

are shown with an equation, the experimental data, and the calculated values in Table II.

Decomposition Rates for $\mathbf{B H}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{C F}_{3} \mathbf{P F}_{2}$. The new compound $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$ showed important infrared absorption at $2445,1220,1180,928,921$, and 773 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. As it decomposed, bands characteristic of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$ appeared, indicating the equation

$$
2 \mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}+2 \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}
$$



Figure 1. Representative rate curves for the decomposition of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$. For graphical convenience the linear plot is $\sqrt{\mathrm{f}(x)}$ vs. $\sqrt{t}$, magnifying the early regions. Thus the lines drawn through the $\times$ points seem to miss the origin, but the deviations actually are within the small error of estimating $t_{0}$. For the $0.0^{\circ}$ curve (circled points) the linear plot is $20 \sqrt{\mathrm{f}(x)}$ vs. $0.5 \sqrt{t}$ so that the ordinate numbers should be divided by 400 and the abscissa numbers multiplied by 4 , for true comparison with the other curves. This $0.0^{\circ}$ curve illustrates the genuine deviation of the very early points from linearity, an effect not noticed for the faster runs at higher temperatures.

The kinetic runs used samples containing $3-5 \%$ of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$ impurity. Since the initial rates would be governed by this rather than by the presence or $a b-$ sence of diborane, it was reasonable to run the $\mathrm{f}(x)$ vs. $t$ curves through the origin, thus determining the zero time $t_{0}$ by extrapolation. On this basis the curves

Table II. Decomposition Constants for $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ $\left(\log K_{\mathrm{atm}}=8.670-2592 / T=8.670-11,870 / 4.578 T\right)$

| Temp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ | Time, hr | Tube vol, ml | Std gas $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ | volumes $\mathbf{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{cc} \\ & \mathrm{PF}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\overbrace{\text { Obsd }}^{K}$ | $\underset{\text { Calcd }}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 297.76 | 674 | 45.7 | 43.4 | 6.03 | 114.0 | 0.99 | 0.91 |
| 305.96 | 408 | 46.5 | 41.6 | 10.05 | 102.5 | 1.47 | 1.55 |
| 314.16 | 330 | 55.9 | 35.6 | 11.30 | 116.2 | 2.48 | 2.58 |
| 323.96 | 163 | 47.3 | 29.3 | 11.10 | 120.6 | 4.72 | 4.61 |
| 334.16 | 163 | 47.4 | 34.5 | 14.60 | 160.2 | 8.13 | 8.09 |

were indeed linear (conforming to the same mechanism as for $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ or $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ ), with precision essentially the same as in Figure 1. The composite rate constants from eight experiments at four temperatures are shown with the resulting energy equation in Table III.

Decomposition Rates for $\mathbf{B H}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathbf{C F}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathbf{P F}$. The main infrared absorption peaks for $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PF}$ appeared
at 2432,907 , and $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, with the usual very intense $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ stretching bands in the range $1120-1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ not easily distinguished in the presence of the $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PH}$ and $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PF}$ impurities. However, it was possible to demonstrate the same kind of dissociation as for $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$, and to deal with rate data in

Table III. Summary of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$ Decomposition Rates $\left(\log k_{1} k_{3} / k_{2}=11.02-24,474 / 4.578 T\right)$

| Temp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{0}, \\ & \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ | Total time, min | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { decompn } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -10^{8} k_{1} k_{3} / k_{1}, \\ & \text { Obsd } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{sec}^{-1}- \\ & \text { Calcd } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24.9 | 8.7 | 164 | 6.9 | 12.9 | 12.4 |
| 25.0 | 20.9 | 228 | 7.6 |  |  |
| 37.4 | 10.6 | 97 | 10.6 | 56.5 | 64.2 |
| 37.5 | 17.6 | 92 | 10.5 |  |  |
| 45.2 | 10.9 | 69 | 15.6 | 173 | 169 |
| 45.2 | 25.6 | 96 | 18.0 ' |  |  |
| 53.5 | 9.5 | 58 | 20.3 | 440 | 446 |
| 53.3 | 28.3 | 72 | 23.3 ) |  |  |

the same manner. Again the $\mathrm{f}(x)$ vs. $t$ graphs were linear with the usual precision. The rates, shown with the energy equation in Table IV, were about 20 times as fast as for $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$, or 400 times as fast as for $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$, at the same temperatures.

Table IV. Summary of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PF}$ Decomposition Rates $\left(\log k_{1} k_{3} / k_{2}=11.70-21,536 / 4.5787\right)$

| Temp, <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $P_{0}$, <br> mm | $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PH}$, time, <br> mm | total <br> min | $\%$ <br> decompn | $10^{5} k_{1} / k_{3} / k_{2}, \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ <br> Obsd | Calcd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.2 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 84 | 49 | 2.74 | 2.80 |
| 26.7 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 72 | 66 | 10.5 | 10.4 |
| 35.6 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 44 | 75 | 28.2 | 29.3 |

The Decomposition of $\mathbf{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$. The dissociation of $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ is not very directly observable, for many secondary products are formed very rapidly. For example, a 0.268 -mmole sample of $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ was $63 \%$ decomposed in a closed glass tube during 25 min at $25^{\circ}$. Then the volatile products were separated from the remaining $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$, and the components were identified by their infrared spectra and determined, in parts per $100 \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ consumed, as follows: $16 \mathrm{H}_{2}$, $80 \mathrm{PF}_{3}, \quad 14 \mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}, \quad 22 \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \quad 16 \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, and $14 \mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$. No $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ could be found. All components except hydrogen were returned to the same tube and the process was continued for 63 hr , eliminating the $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$. Now the total $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was 36 per $100 \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$, and the other products were $69 \mathrm{PF}_{3}, 20 \mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}, 18 \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, $11 \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, and $4 \mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}$. The reason for the disappearance of $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{11}$, while $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ remained, is not clear. Apparently both were formed in amounts far above the equilibrium values and then slowly decomposed. Also, there was far more $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ than would have been in equilibrium with the observed $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$; it must have been formed directly from $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ fragments derived from the $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ unit. As far as $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ formation was concerned, the reaction was fairly clean: no more than $11 \%$ of this ligand was incorporated in the nonvolatile solid products.

The rate studies on $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ also gave quite linear graphs when plotted in the same manner as the other runs. Since the basis was the observation of liberated $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$, it would appear that the ultimate incorporation of a little $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ in the nonvolatile solid (and its use to make $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ ) did not have much effect upon the results. The rates over a range of temperatures are shown with an energy equation in Table $V$.

Table V. Summary of $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ Decomposition Rates $\left(\log k_{1} k_{3} / k_{2}=11.36-20,556 / 4.578 T\right)$

| Temp., <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $P_{0}$, <br> mm | Total <br> time, <br> min | $\%$ <br> decompn | $10^{6} k_{1} k_{3} / k_{2}, \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ <br> Obsd | Calcd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -2.2 | 12.0 | 74 | 26.6 | 6.15 | 6.19 |
| 0.0 | 21.9 | 64 | 27.8 | 8.1 | 8.4 |
| 0.0 | 44.2 | 61 | 27.7, | 34.9 | 33.7 |
| 10.4 | 13.6 | 62 | 47.4 | 197 | 199 |
| 25.0 | 12.3 | 37 | 66.0 | 197 |  |

## Discussion

The Reaction Mechanism. The rate equation (5) on integration becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 k_{1} k_{3} t}{k_{2}}=\frac{x}{1--x}+\left(1-k_{3} / k_{2}\right) \ln (1-x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus again it is apparent that $k_{3} \ll k_{2}$ reduces the rate law to eq 1. However, at zero time the process governed by $k_{3}$, namely reaction 3 , cannot begin for lack of free $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$. Hence at that point, eq 5 reduces to a first-order rate law, describing the dissociation reaction (2) as the rate-determining step, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}=2 k_{1}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The observed very rapid deviation from this firstorder law shows that $k_{3}$ is indeed far smaller than $k_{2}$, so that our low-temperature observations describe a transition from the first-order law to eq 1 , almost entirely within the first $1 \%$ of decomposition. For this reason, most of our runs (with the first observations beyond $1 \%$ ) failed to show any significant deviation of the $\mathrm{f}(x)$ vs. $t$ curves from linearity through the origin.

Thermochemical Results. Making the reasonable assumption of zero $\Delta H$ of activation for the reverse of the dissociation of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ into $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ (eq 2), and accepting the literature estimate of the entropy of dissociation of diborane, ${ }^{11}$ we can use the results from Table II with eq 6 to write the mutually consistent equations

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3} \stackrel{k_{1}}{\stackrel{k_{2}}{\longleftrightarrow}} \mathrm{BH}_{3}+\mathrm{PF}_{3} ; \Delta F=23.45-\overline{0.03694 T} \mathrm{kcal} \\
\log k_{1} / k_{2}=8.06-5122 / T \\
2 \mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}+2 \mathrm{PF}_{3} ; \quad \Delta F=11.87-0.03967 T \mathrm{kcal} \\
\log k_{1} / k_{3} / k_{2} k_{4}=8.67-2593 / T
\end{array}
$$

[^2]where $k_{4}$ concerns the reversal of eq 3 and each overlined value is derived by combining the other two equations.

Various other results now can be derived. For example, from eq 4 and 6

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log k_{3} / k_{2} & =15.84-6400 / T-13.94+5122 / T \\
& =1.90-1289 / T
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, $k_{3} / k_{2}$ at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ is calculated as roughly $4 \times 10^{-3}$, reconfirming the assumption $k_{3} \ll k_{2}$. Similarly, eq 4 and 9 combine to give

$$
\log k_{3}=7.78-1278 / T
$$

while eq 4 and 10 give

$$
\log k_{4}=7.17-3807 / T
$$

Hence the equilibrium constant for reaction 3 is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log k_{3} / k_{4}=0.61+2529 / T
\end{aligned},
$$

This result is consistent with our evidence that reversal did not appreciably affect our results even at late stages of the over-all reaction.

Other derivations from these thermochemical equations are obvious.

It is interesting that our first-order activation-energy equation for $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ is nearly the same as that of Fehlner and Koski ${ }^{6}$ for $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$, namely

$$
\log k_{1}=13.94-23,450 / 4.578 T \text { for } \mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}
$$

vs.

$$
\log k_{1}=13.90-23,100 / 4.578 T \text { for } \mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}
$$

Our new 35.0 -kcal value for $D\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)$ (eq 11) probably has a random error less than 0.5 kcal . It is quite consistent with the estimates by Garabedian and Benson ( $35 \pm 3 \mathrm{kcal})^{2}$ and Fehlner and Koski ( $37 \pm$ $4 \mathrm{kcal}) .{ }^{6}$ If, contrary to expectations, $k_{2}$ were related to a positive $\Delta H$ of activation, our estimate would be lower. However, arguments omitting eq 6, and applying somewhat less rigorous assumptions to our main rate data, would lead to values about 1 kcal higher.

The Other Decompositions. The rate studies on $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PF}$ conformed well to the $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ mechanism but could not be used in the same way for an independent estimate of $D\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)$ because the unavoidable presence of the free ligands made it impossible to extrapolate into the region of zero time.

The conformity of $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ to the $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ mechanism would suggest further attempts to obtain useful thermochemical results concerning polyboranes, but the process here is so much more complicated as to discourage such efforts. The decomposition is some 300 times as fast as for $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$, making it more difficult to study the very early stages of the reaction; and in any case, if we write

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}+\mathrm{PF}_{3} \\
\mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}+\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3} \longrightarrow{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16} \text { " }+\mathrm{PF}_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

there remains great doubt about the character of the second step. For example, the rate law for the appearance of $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ would seem the same if $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ were decomposing rapidly to produce a fragment which displaces $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ more slowly from $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$, or if an intact $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ were to remove a fragment from $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$, leaving a $\mathrm{B}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ which loses $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ much more rapidly.
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